Remarks

Applicants thank the Examiner for returning the signed and initialed copies of the PTO-1449 forms corresponding to the Information Disclosure Statements filed on June 20, 2001, October 1, 2002, November 5, 2003, February 20, 2004, May 5, 2004, and August 27, 2004.

Claims 33-64 are pending in this application.   Claims 1-32 are cancelled without prejudice and without disclaimer.   Claims 33-64 are newly added.   No new subject matter has been added.   In view of the foregoing amendment and the following remarks, allowance of all the claims pending in the application is requested.

102/103 Rejections

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-7, 9-15, 17-23, and 25-31 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Jain (U.S. Patent No. 6,480,853).   The Examiner has rejected claims 8, 16, 24, and 32 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over Jain in view of Holt *et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 6,601,061).   Although Applicants disagree with the rejections of claims 1-32, the cancellation of these claims has rendered the rejections moot.

Newly Added Claims

Claims 33-64 are newly added and are distinguishable over the cited references for the following reasons.   Independent claim 33 recites, among other things, generating a mobile design element based on the accessed form and the style sheet associated with the wireless client device.   Independent claims 41, 49, and 57 include similar subject matter, among other things.

In an exemplary embodiment, a database designer may define one or more applications in a database, which may include creating an application digest in the database as a design element (see the Specification at page 31, lines 3-6).   The database may also store other design elements, such as forms, views, help, etc. (see the Specification at page 31, lines 11-14).   The application digest may hold information regarding the application and a copy of mobile design elements used by the mobile

application in a format required or desired by a wireless client device (see the Specification at page 31, lines 7-9). A compiler may automatically generate the mobile design elements from other design elements in the database (see the Specification at page 31, lines 10-14).

Jain appears to be drawn to a system for searching form information on the Internet that enables a search engine being run on a web server to search bookmarks stored within a user's browser (see Jain at col. 2, lines 55-59). Jain teaches that this functionality is enabled by sending a list of bookmarks stored within the user's browser to the search engine with each search request (see Jain at col. 2, lines 59-64). Transmission of the user's bookmarks with the search request may enable a "personalized" search result to be provided by the search engine. However, Jain is silent with respect to the generation of a mobile design element for formatting content prior to transmission from the server to the client. Therefore, Jain does not teach or suggest generating a mobile design element based on the accessed form and the style sheet associated with the wireless client device.

The Examiner admits that Jain does not teach storing a file remotely from the wireless client device, but seeks to cure this deficiency by combining Jain with Holt. Holt apparently discloses a system and method for augmenting searches for data with public search engines by submitting searches to special purpose search resources, such as search capabilities provided by private companies, universities, and government web pages (see Holt at the Abstract and col. 5, lines 11-45). However, Holt does not address the shortcomings of Jain addressed above. Therefore, Jain and Holt, both alone and in combination fail to teach or suggest all of the features of the claimed invention.

Accordingly, independent claims 33, 41, 49, and 57 are allowable over the cited prior art. Claims 34-40, 42-48, 50-56, and 58-64 depend from corresponding ones of independent claims 33, 41, 49, and 57, and therefore are allowable based on their dependency as well as for the features that they add to the independent claims.

If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the number provided.

Dated: March 15, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

Sean L. Ingram
Registration No.:   48,283
PILLSBURY WINTHROP LLP
1600 Tysons Blvd.
McLean, Virginia   22102
703-905-2000